

# REPORT OF THE AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE BATH COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2000 TAXES

**April 21, 2001** 

# EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS WWW.KYAUDITOR.NET

144 CAPITOL ANNEX FRANKFORT, KY 40601 TELE. (502) 564-5841 FAX (502) 564-2912

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

## AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE BATH COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2000 TAXES

#### **April 21, 2001**

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2000 Taxes for Bath County Sheriff as of April 21, 2001. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statements are presented fairly in all material respects.

#### **Financial Condition:**

The Sheriff collected net taxes of \$1,858,178 for the districts for 2000 taxes. The Sheriff distributed taxes of \$1,857,402 to the districts for 2000 Taxes. Refunds of \$17 are due to the Sheriff from the taxing districts.

#### **Deposits:**

The sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities or bonds.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2000 TAXES	3
Notes To Financial Statement	5
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL	
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	9



# EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
T. Kevin Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Ray Bailey, Bath County Judge/Executive
Honorable Randall Armitage, Bath County Sheriff
Members of the Bath County Fiscal Court

### **Independent Auditor's Report**

We have audited the Bath County Sheriff's Settlement - 2000 Taxes as of April 21, 2001. This tax settlement is the responsibility of the Bath County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the Bath County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid as of April 21, 2001, in conformity with the basis of accounting described in the preceding paragraph.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
T. Kevin Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Ray Bailey, Bath County Judge/Executive
Honorable Randall Armitage, Bath County Sheriff
Members of the Bath County Fiscal Court

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated November 9, 2001, on our consideration of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - November 9, 2001

# BATH COUNTY RANDALL ARMITAGE, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2000 TAXES

# April 21, 2001

				Special				
Charges	Cou	unty Taxes	Tax	ing Districts	Sch	nool Taxes	Sta	ite Taxes
Real Estate	\$	206,263	\$	398,870	\$	658,897	\$	269,288
Tangible Personal Property		7,731		14,202		26,485		27,137
Intangible Personal Property								10,705
Franchise Corporation		59,605		111,138		200,538		
Bank Franchises		33,186						
Penalties		2,575		4,986		8,252		3,309
Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt		4		11		16		9
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff	\$	309,364	\$	529,207	\$	894,188	\$	310,448
Credits								
Exonerations	\$	1,640	\$	3,143	\$	5,240	\$	2,157
Discounts	_	3,517	_	5,500	_	9,142	_	4,218
Delinquents:		- ,-		- 7		- ,		, -
Real Estate		9,110		17,533		29,102		11,894
Tangible Personal Property		235		431		805		489
Intangible Personal Property								84
Uncollected Franchise		35		198		116		
Total Credits	\$	14,537	\$	26,805	\$	44,405	\$	18,842
Net Tax Yield	\$	294,827	\$	502,402	\$	849,783	\$	291,606
Less: Commissions *	Ψ	12,817	Ψ	20,951	Ψ	33,991	Ψ	12,681
Less. Commissions		12,017		20,731		33,771		12,001
Net Taxes Due	\$	282,010	\$	481,451	\$	815,792	\$	278,925
Add:								
Penalty Per State Settlement								1
Less:								
Taxes Paid		281,906		481,268		815,455		278,773
Credit For Commission Per KRS 134.29	90							17
Refunds (Current and Prior Year)		104		200		337		136
Due Districts or (Refund Due Sheriff)				**				
as of Completion of Fieldwork	\$	0	\$	(17)	\$	0	\$	0
*and **see next page				<u> </u>				

BATH COUNTY RANDALL ARMITAGE, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2000 TAXES April 21, 2001 (Continued)

\* Commissions:

10% on \$ 10,000 4.25% on \$ 918,473 4% on \$ 1,010,145

\*\* Special Taxing Districts:

Library District	\$ (5)
Soil Conservation District	(2)
Watershed District	(10)

# BATH COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

April 21, 2001

### Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

## A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

## B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

#### C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

#### Note 2. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of April 21, 2001, the Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the Sheriff's agent in the Sheriff's name, or provided surety bond which named the Sheriff as beneficiary/obligee on the bond.

BATH COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT APRIL 21, 2001 (Continued)

#### Note 3. Tax Collection Period

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2000. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the year ended June 30, 2001. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was October 31, 2000 through April 21, 2001.

#### Note 4. Interest Income

The Bath County Sheriff earned \$3,046 as interest income on 2000 taxes. The Sheriff distributed the appropriate amount to the school district as required by statute, and the remainder will be used to operate the Sheriff's office.

#### Note 5. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The Bath County Sheriff collected \$14,769 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.430(3). This amount was used to operate the Sheriff's office.

#### Note 6. Advertising Costs And Fees

The Bath County Sheriff collected \$441 of advertising costs and \$460 of advertising fees allowed by KRS 424.330(1) and KRS 134.440(2). The Sheriff distributed the advertising costs to the county as required by statute, and the advertising fees will be used to operate the Sheriff's office.

#### Note 7. Bond Coverage

KRS 134.230 and KRS 134.250 give the Fiscal Court the authority to require the Sheriff to have a county revenue bond. The county revenue bond is supposed to cover all tax monies on hand in the Sheriff's office. The Sheriff's county revenue bond was \$175,000, the amount of which appears adequate to protect the county from potential loss.

# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



# EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
T. Kevin Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Ray Bailey, Bath County Judge/Executive
Honorable Randall Armitage, Bath County Sheriff
Members of the Bath County Fiscal Court

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Bath County Sheriff's Settlement - 2000 Taxes as of April 21, 2001, and have issued our report thereon dated November 9, 2001. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### **Compliance**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Bath County Sheriff's Settlement - 2000 Taxes as of April 21, 2001 is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

## Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Bath County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - November 9, 2001